

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,257	07/27/2004	Klaus Rapf	RAPF	7501
20151 7	03/03/2006		EXAMINER	
HENRY M FEIEREISEN, LLC			CROSLAND, DONNIE L	
350 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10118		2636	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 			
	10/501,257	RAPF, KLAUS	•			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DONNIE L. CROSLAND	2636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	i. the mailing date of this color (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•			
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 23-41 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
						2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		•			
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-9-04.	6) Other:	•				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the proper symbol or label (37 CFR 1.84n,o) must be provided for all blocks as shown in replacement sheets dated 2-15-05 that includes figures 6 and 7A.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 10/501,257

Art Unit: 2636

Claims 33-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 33, line 1, the phrase "the stop positions" has no antecedent basis.

Claim 33 is incomplete in failing to structurally connect the recited "send module" with other circuit elements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 23-32 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Connor et al in view of Bolger.

O'Connor shows the signaling method and apparatus such as the second signal to the bus from the host 2 (server) as well a signal from the bus 14 to the host 2. The stop request is provided from the stop 12 to the bus 14, see figure 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,257

Art Unit: 2636

O'Connor fails to show the request stop as the first signal to the server (host 2).

Bolger shows the signaling method and apparatus and provides for a stop request (service request) from 10 to a central dispatch controller and from the controller to the vehicle, see abstract and figure 7.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide at a stop request the request stop in the form of a first signal to the server or host 2 in O'Connor's signaling system because the specific use and advantages of the request stop signal to a central server or host is suggested by Bolger.

Any advantages seen are those naturally expected to occur due to the stop request signal suggested by Bolger.

The various protocols are conventional and would not involved patentable invention.

Java application is suggested in O'Connor, see figure 3 and related disclosure.

GPS is conventional and would not involve patentable invention. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ GPS in O'Connor as modified by Bolger.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 33-40 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 33 recites the combination of a request stop that includes a motion detector connected with the display module.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,257 Page 5

Art Unit: 2636

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jones (5657010 and 6278936) are cited as showing the signaling device that includes a stop request combined with a server and bus involving bidirectional communication between all three units, the request stop, the server or host, and the bus or vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DONNIE L. CROSLAND whose telephone number is 571-272-2980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9:30a-6:00p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JEFFERY HOFSASS can be reached on 571-272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/501,257

Art Unit: 2636

Page 6

PONNE L CROSLAND Primary Examiner Art Unit 2636

DLC 23-1-06